CONTRACT #6 RFS # 331.11-007

Department of Education

VENDOR: Measurement Incorporated

RECEIVED

MAY 0 9 2006

FISCAL REVIEW



STATE OF TENNESSEE **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

6th FLOOR, ANDREW JOHNSON TOWER 710 JAMES ROBERTSON PARKWAY NASHVILLE, TN 37243-0375 LANA C. SEIVERS, Ed.D. COMMISSIONER

To:

Ms. Leni Chick, Fiscal Review

From:

PHIL BREDESEN

GOVERNOR

Lana C. Seivers, Commissioner

Date:

May 9, 2006

RE:

Request to appear before Fiscal Review Committee

Please consider the enclosed request to appear before the Fiscal Review Committee to answer any questions regarding the proposed Non-Competitive Amendment to FA-04-15822-00 – Contract with Measurement Incorporated.

The proposed amendment will add two deliverables which will address the need for scoring of the TCAP-Alt writing assessment which is administered to children with special needs in grades 5, 8, and 11. The proposed amendment also allows for additional deliverables for TCAP-Alt writing assessment at no additional cost to the State, as well as modify the payment methodology in order to correctly reflect unit costs in the appropriate fiscal years.

Thank you for your consideration to our request.

REQUEST: NON-COMPETITIVE AMENDMENT

APPROVED	
·	
	<u>-</u>
Commissioner of Finance & Administration	
Date:	_

		A ARRENAS A ARRENAS A ARRESTA	COURSED
	EACH RE	EQUEST ITEM BELOW <u>MUST</u> BE DETAILED OR ADDRESSED <u>AS RE</u>	QUIKED.
1)	RFS#	331.11-007-04	
2)	State Agency Name :	Department of Education	
		EXISTING CONTRACT INFORMATON	
3)	Service Caption :	For the provision of scoring the Writing Assessment papers an	d printing the materials for K-12.
4)	Contractor:	Measurement Incorporated	
5)	Contract #	FA-04-15822-00	
6)	Contract Start Date :		January 1, 2004
7)	Current Contract End Date	e IF <u>all</u> Options to Extend the Contract are Exercised :	June 30, 2008
8)	<u>Current</u> Total Maximum C	ost IF <u>all</u> Options to Extend the Contract are Exercised :	\$5,109,313.54
		PROPOSED AMENDMENT INFORMATON	
9)	Proposed Amendment #		2
10)	Proposed Amendment Eff (attached explanation requi	fective Date : red if date is < 60 days after F&A receipt)	April 1, 2006
.11)	Proposed Contract End D	ate IF <u>all</u> Options to Extend the Contract are Exercised :	June 30, 2008
12)	Proposed Total Maximum	Cost IF <u>all</u> Options to Extend the Contract are Exercised :	\$5,342,959.54
13)	Approval Criteria : (select one)	use of Non-Competitive Negotiation is in the best interest	of the state
		only one uniquely qualified service provider able to provi	de the service
14) Description of the Propos	sed Amendment Effects & Any Additional Service :	
Th fro	is amendment provides for th m a federal grant for Special	e following two (2) additional deliverables at a cost increase of \$ Education.	233,646.00. These costs will be paid
1.	vears. Anchor setting estai	ting meetings for the TCAP Alternative (ALT) writing assessment blish writing papers that will be used as standards at each score ers using a 6 point rubric. The rubric defines the scores.	t at a cost of \$126,420 over three (3) point. The writing assessment is

2.	11.3 Conduct room leader and reader training for the TCAP ALT writing assessment at a cost of \$107,226 over three (3) years.
Ado	litional changes requested in Amendment 2, which are at no cost to the state, include the following:
1.	Amendment 1 provided for the addition of one (1) ALT prompt to be used for assessing all students in grades 5, 8, and 11. A prompt defines the topic for the student's writing. Prompts have two basic parts: the writing topic and the directions for writing. Amendment 2 will provide two (2) additional prompts for a total of three (3), one for each grade 5, 8, and 11. Amendment 1 defined the assessment as ASA (Alternative Standards Assessment). Clarification from USDOE has changed this to TCAP Writing Alternative Assessment (TCAP/WA-Alt). Amendment 2 will provide for changes in the Scope of Services correcting/clarifying references made to ASA in Amendment 1.
2.	Modify C.3 payment methodology for Production and Ancillary materials to reflect unit costs in the appropriate contract years. When the RFP was written, it was assumed that the contractor would provide materials in the first year of the contract. The RFP was released later than anticipated and the State provided the materials for the first year of the contract. Costs for these materials should have been reflected in years two through five (2-5). Costs are exactly the same as originally provided in the RFP and contract; the amendment will delete quantities from year one (1) and add quantities to future years.
3.	Modify Communication Contacts to reflect new Division name and Director.
4.	Include the scoring rubric for TCAP/WA-Alt in Attachment 1.
15)	Explanation of Need for the Proposed Amendment :
exp lev AL	e clarification regarding testing requirements for special education students specified that the ALT Writing Assessment must be banded from one prompt to be used for all special education students in grades 5, 8, and 11 to a separate prompt for each grade el. This change requires additional scoring activities, to include separate anchor setting and room leader trainings for the three (3) I prompts.
res not	addition to the requirement for testing at all grades, USDOE redefined the assessment which has required the name change to AP Writing Alternative Assessment (TCAP/WA-Alt). Additional changes are intended to provide clarity regarding contractor ponsibilities for both the regular and alternative assessments. Amendment 1 addressed reporting needs for ASA, however it was wholly inclusive of the specific services that would include this additional assessment.
Mo cos	dification of the payment methodology will provide the state with an accurate audit for materials pricing and will ensure that unit sts are invoiced in the correct year.
16	Name & Address of Contractor's Current Principal Owner(s) : (not required if proposed contractor is a state education institution)
Мє	easurement, Incorporated; 423 Morris Street; Durham, NC 27701
17) Documentation of Office for Information Resources Endorsement : (required only if the subject service involves information technology)
ı	select one: Documentation Not Applicable to this Request Documentation Attached to this Request
18) Documentation of Department of Personnel Endorsement : (required only if the subject service involves training for state employees)
	select one: Documentation Not Applicable to this Request Documentation Attached to this Request
19	Documentation of State Architect Endorsement : (required only if the subject service involves construction or real property related services)
	select one: Documentation Not Applicable to this Request Documentation Attached to this Request
20	Description of Procuring Agency Efforts to Identify Reasonable, Competitive, Procurement Alternatives :
las	DOE negotiated with the vendor to obtain the two (2) alternative assessment scoring activities at a reduced price from the regular seessment scoring activities. The costs for the scoring activities will be paid through a federal grant for special education seessment. The additional changes that are requested in this amendment are at no cost.
TI	DOE contacted two other vendors and requested bids for these services, the bids were higher than the prices negotiated with the disting vendor. See Comparisons Below and bids attached:

TCAP/WA-Alt Bid Comparison

Product Description / year delivered	Measurement Incorporated	Pearson Educational Measurement	CTB/McGraw-Hill
Conduct Alt Anchor Setting			
2006	\$40,500	\$57,500	\$56,300
2007	\$42,120	\$58,650	\$57,100
2008	\$43,800	\$59,825	\$58,125
Conduct Alt Reader Training			
2006	\$34,464	\$71,500	\$75,155
2007	\$35,844	\$72,950	\$76,000
2008	\$36,918	\$74,500	\$77,155
Total Additional Cost	\$233,646	\$394,925	\$399,835

21) Justification for the Proposed Non-Competitive Amendment :

This contract resulted from an RFP process. This request for an amendment is based upon the purchase of services similar to those provided within the existing contract. Due to the smaller population of students assessed with the TCAP/WA-Alt, the costs for the additional scoring activities are at a reduced rate from the regular writing assessments. See the attached spreadsheet for a comparison of the costs for these additions.

The inclusion of the scoring of the Special Education ALT writing assessment is considered a natural progression to the existing scope of services. The additional scoring activities are identical in scope to those defined in the contract with the exception of the test being scored and the size of the population being assessed. Utilizing Measurement Incorporated for establishing the anchor papers by which the Alt tests will be scored and training the readers who will do the scoring will ensure accurate and consistent scores for the Alt assessment overall as well as in comparison to the state's regular writing assessment. Measurement Incorporated has worked with the state for over ten (10) years in providing, scoring, and reporting our Writing Assessment. The writing scores are included in reporting to USDOE for Annual Yearly Progress (AYP) as well as the Tennessee Value Added Assessment System (TVAAS) and the state report card. Measurement Incorporated has a proven and reliable track record in providing consistent and accurate data for the Writing Assessment.

DECLIERTING	ACENCY HEAD	SIGNATURE 8	DATE

(must be signed & dated by the <u>ACTUAL</u> procuring agency head as detailed on the Signature Certification on file with OCR— signature by an authorized signatory will be accepted only in documented exigent circumstances)

Lana C. Lavind	5-9-06
Agency Head Signature	Date

Measurement, Inc. Cost Evaluation Audit

Contract: FA-04-45822-01

Measurement, Inc. Cost Evaluation Audit	Evaluation Audit			COILL ACT. FA-04-4-3066-0		
Product Description		1/1/04- 12/31/04	1/1/05-12/31/05 1	1/1/06-12/31/06 1	1/1/07-12/31/07 1	1/1/08-12/31/08
Scoring						
Conduct Anchor Setting Meetings by last week in February of responses and other services requested in Section A.11 for Tennessee educators are budgeted at \$150.00 per day pay is budgeted at \$75.00 p	s by last week in February (Includes copying requested in Section A.11.2 (a-i). Honoraria tgeted at \$150.00 per day. Substitute teacher					
	Projected Annual Total Cost/completion Projected Cost	\$64,261 \$64,261	, \$66,189 \$66,189.00	1 \$68,175 \$68,175.00	1 \$70,220 \$70,220.00	1 \$72,327 \$72,327.00
TCAP-Alt Conduct Anchor Setting Me copying of responses and other servic Honoraria for Tennessee educators an Substitute teacher pay is budgeted at	TCAP-Alt Conduct Anchor Setting Meetings by last week in February (Includes copying of responses and other services requested in Section A.11.2 (a-i). Honoraria for Tennessee educators are budgeted at \$150.00 per day. Substitute teacher pay is budgeted at					
	Projected Annual Total Cost/completion Projected Cost			1 \$40,500 \$40,500.00	1 \$42,120 \$42,120.00	\$43,800 \$43,800.00
Conduct Room Leader and Reac	Conduct Room Leader and Reader Training by end of second full week in March					
	Projected Annual Total Cost/completion Projected Cost	1 \$107,385 \$107,385.00	1 \$110,607 \$110,607.00	1 \$113,925 \$113,925.00	1 \$117,343 \$117,343.00	\$120,863 \$120,863.00
TCAP-Alt Conduct Room Leader and Reader Training by week in March	ir and Reader Training by end of second full					
	Projected Annual Total Cost/completion Projected Cost			1 \$34,464 \$34,464.00	1 \$35,844 \$35,844.00	1 \$36,918 \$36,918.00
Original total Alt Additions Grand Total		\$171,646.00 \$171,646.00	\$176,796.00 \$176,796.00	\$182,100.00 \$74,964.00 \$257,064.00	\$187,563.00 \$77,964.00 \$265,527.00	\$193,190.00 \$80,718.00 \$273,908.00
5 yr original total 5 yr alt additions 5 yr grand total	\$911,295.00 \$233,646.00 \$1,144,941.00					

AMENDMENT # 02 TO CONTRACT FA-04-15822-00

This Contract, by and between the State of Tennessee, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, hereinafter referred to as the State, and MEASUREMENT INCORPORATED, hereinafter referred to as the Contractor, is hereby amended as follows:

- Delete the following in Section A.1. Definitions:
 - ASA Alternate Standards Assessment
- 2. Add the following to Section A.1. Definitions:
 - TCAP/WA-Alt TCAP Writing Alternative Assessment
- 3. Delete the introduction to Section A.2 on pages 1 and 2 in its entirety and replace with the following:

The Tennessee Comprehensive Assessment Program Writing Assessment (TCAP/WA) is a performance-based assessment of student writing skills of the Tennessee English Language Arts Curriculum Standards (Performance Indicators and Accomplishments). The Writing Assessment shall be implemented through a multi-step, joint approval process between the Contractor and the State. As in the past, the State will develop prompts and distribute the TCAP/WA to Tennessee school systems for administration. The Contractor will print all necessary materials, process, score and report results of the TCAP/WA.

The TCAP/WA is given at three grade levels, currently 5, 8 and 11. The TCAP/WA-Alt is given at three grade levels, currently 5, 8 and 11. Students at each grade level respond to an assigned prompt within a specific time period. Each of the prompts assesses a different type of writing: narrative in the elementary grade, expository in the middle school grade, and persuasive in the high school grade. The TCAP/WA essays are scored holistically using a State developed rubric and blind scoring methods. (See Attachment 1.)

- 4. Delete Sections A.2.2 and A.2.3 on page 2 in their entirety and replace with the following:
 - Maintaining test validity, reliability, and equivalent prompts across years is a
 fundamental priority of the program. The Contractor is required to provide the human
 resources and technology needed to implement the TCAP/WA and TCAP/WA-Alt
 according to State standards.
 - 3. The test results at all three grade levels are used for school accountability. Because of the high stakes nature of TCAP/WA and TCAP/WA-Alt, all aspects of test administration, scoring, and reporting will be undertaken with diligence for test security and score validity.
- 5. Delete Section A.3.5 on page 3 in its entirety and replace with the following:
 - 5. Read behinds will be conducted by State staff and consultants of at least 200 responses for the TCAP/WA and 50 responses for the TCAP/WA-Alt per week to assure validity of scoring. Calibration and retraining of affected readers will take place by room leaders as needed and rescoring will take place as necessary.

- 6. Delete Sections A.6.1 and A.6.2 on page 7 in their entirety and replace with the following:
 - 1. Test Directions:
 - a. Test directions must be printed on one page of 8 ½ x 11 white paper in black ink.
 - b. Design and content for the test directions will be provided to the Contractor by the State. (See Attachment 6.)
 - c. Directions will be printed for each grade level at the ratio of one per student tested.
 - 2. Prompt Sheets:
 - a. Prompt Sheets will be printed on one page of 8 ½ by 11 white paper in black ink.
 - b. Design and content for the prompt sheets will be provided to the Contractor by the State. (See Attachment 6.)
 - c. Prompts will be printed for each grade level at the ratio of one per student tested.
- 7. Delete Section A.6.6 on page 8 in its entirety and replace with the following:
 - 6. <u>Test Administration Manual</u>: This document is provided to assist the test administrator during the testing session. Administration requirements for all grades assessed by TCAP/WA and WA-Alt shall be included in the manual. Manuals are provided at a ratio of one manual per 15 students.

The manual will include information about checking materials, planning testing schedules, organizing classrooms, preparation of students, use of standardized testing procedures, security of materials, completing the demographic portion of the answer documents, accommodations instructions, test directions, administration of the test, assembly of materials for return, and distribution of reports. This product will be developed by the State, and the Contractor will make it available in printed form and for downloading from the Internet on a secure site. Revisions will be made as necessary to reflect program changes.

- 8. Delete the introduction to A.6.9 on page 8 in its entirety and replace with the following:
 - 9. Alternate Versions: Large print and Braille versions of student test materials (prompt, directions, large print answer documents) will be provided by the Contractor for each administration for both TCAP/WA and TCAP/WA-Alt.
- Delete Section A.7.3 onp age 9 in its entirety and replace with the following:
 - 3. The Contractor will produce a Posttest Brochure. The brochure will be delivered to the Systems along with initial reports (see Section A. 13.1.b) by May 1 of each year.
 - Posttest Brochure: A pamphlet that describes the report forms used for each administration will be developed. This pamphlet will describe the scoring process, scoring rubric, and report forms distributed to students, schools and districts. It will also contain supported information related to interpreting the test results. This document will be distributed with the printed test scores by May 1 or the last business day prior to May 1.
 - a. Includes thumbnail image of the student score report
 - b. Preprinted on colored paper in black ink
- 10. Delete Section A.9.1.e through f on pages 11 and in their entirety and replace with the following:
 - e. Braille and large-print materials are to be packaged and labeled separately and included in the shipment of test materials to the State.

- f. TCAP/WA-Alt materials are to be packaged and labeled separately and included in the shipment of test materials to the State.
- g. The Contractor will be responsible for mailing or shipping any miscellaneous materials to the State and systems as situations arise. The Contractor is required to secure the services of shippers who will provide inside delivery and unload large shipments onto loading docks.
- h. The Contractor will adhere to shipping schedule determined by the State as put forth in Attachment 5.
- 11. Delete Section A.10.4 on page 12 in its entirety and replace with the following:
 - 4. The Contractor will include in check-in procedures a method of checking for and retrieving answer documents and absentee documents erroneously packaged with used and unused materials, inactive materials, or prompt/directions pages. Include procedure for checking for Alt documents.
- 12. Delete the introduction to Section A.11 on page 12 in its entirety and replace with the following:

Hand scoring blind responses with accuracy and consistency is a key component in maintaining the reliability and integrity of the TCAP/WA and WA-Alt programs. The Contractor is required to maintain high levels of scoring accuracy while meeting scoring deadlines as defined in this section.

The Contractor will implement hand scoring processes that are reliable and valid as well as efficient in terms of time and expenditures. The Contractor will consider alternatives that will make it possible for the statewide assessments to be processed according to a timeline that is more efficient than the one specified herein and adhere to the State's requirements.

13. Delete Section A.11.2 in its entirety and replace with the following:

Scoring Anchor Papers

- a. Prior to the scoring of responses to the Writing Assessment, the Contractor will be responsible for organizing and implementing a meeting to select anchor papers for each grade assessed for the TCAP/WA. A separate meeting shall be conducted for TCAP/WA-Alt. The State will select the TN educators and consultants participating in the meetings.
- b. The Contractor will be responsible for making two legible copies of a sample of 2000 student responses per grade and a sample of 100 student responses per Alt grade. Copies will be sent to the State and to expert reader consultants designated by the State. Criteria for sample papers will be provided by the State to the Contractor.
- c. The meetings will take place in Nashville, TN, and will involve at least twenty-five (25) Tennessee educators per grade level, at least twenty (20) Tennessee educators per Alt grade level, State staff and expert reader consultants, and the Contractor's scoring directors.
- d. The meetings will be used to establish anchor papers for the current year's scoring. Small group meetings will be conducted for each prompt/grade combination during the anchor setting meetings.

- e. The Contractor will arrange, organize, and pay the cost of anchor paper scoring committee meetings. The State will designate Tennessee educators and consultants from outside the State to participate in this process as needed.
- f. All costs, including meeting room and equipment rental, meeting materials, travel and per diem costs of participants (excluding State employees) will be paid by the Contractor. Reimbursement rate for participants will not exceed those stipulated in State Comprehensive Travel Regulations. The Contractor will reimburse school systems for substitute teachers if the Contractor holds meetings during the school year.
- g. Contractor staff will participate in the meetings with Tennessee educators, as required. The Contractor will be responsible for all expenses associated with Contractor staff travel and participation. The Contractor's project manager or designee will be present at meetings for overall consultation purposes. The Contractor will provide the appropriate content, statistical, psychometric, and other professional staff persons to participate in each of the meetings.
- h. After the meetings, State staff assisted by expert reader consultants will finalize the student responses to be used for the anchor papers for each grade level.
- i. The Contractor will provide 25 copies each of 24 State selected TCAP/WA student essays per grade level to be used as training sets for anchor paper scoring. The contractor will also provide copies of 200 additional State selected student essays per grade level to be used in anchor paper setting along with the training sets.
- j. The Contractor will provide 20 copies each of 24 State selected TCAP/WA-Alt student essays per grade level to be used as training sets for anchor paper scoring. The contractor will also provide copies of 50 additional State selected student essays per grade level to be used in anchor paper setting along with the training sets.
- 14. Delete the introduction to Section A.11.3 on page 13 in its entirety and replace with the following:
 - 3. Conduct Reader Training and Prepare for Scoring

The State will approve all student responses used to develop the scoring guidelines, training, calibration, and validity sets. For each TCAP/WA grade level the State will provide the holistic scoring rubric, grade-level anchor papers at each score point, two (2) training sets of twenty papers each, four (4) qualifying sets of twelve (12) papers each, and a sufficient quantity of scored papers to be used by the Contractor as validity sets and calibration/recalibration sets. For each TCAP/WA-Alt grade level the State will provide the holistic scoring rubric, grade-level anchor papers at each score point, one (1) training set of twenty papers each, two (2) qualifying sets of twelve (12) papers each, and a sufficient quantity of scored papers to be used by the Contractor as validity sets and calibration/recalibration sets.

- 15. Delete Section A.11.3.b on page 14 in its entirety and replace with the following:
 - b. State consultants and expert reader consultants will be present during reader training and the beginning of scoring the TCAP/WA.
- 16. Delete Section A.11.7.b on page 16 in its entirety and replace with the following:

- b. The Contractor will conduct separate training for each grade level of the TCAP/WA and TCAP/WA-Alt responses. The team leaders will conduct training under the direction of scoring directors in consultation with State staff and Consultants. The State will have final approval of all training techniques. The purpose of the training is to ensure that each person who scores TCAP/WA and TCAP/WA-Alt has met the State's standards for scoring.
- 17. Delete Section A.11.9.b on page 17 in its entirety and replace with the following:
 - b. TCAP/WA and TCAP/WA-Alt essays will be holistically scored independently by two readers using blind scoring methods. The State will provide the Contractor with a specific set of scoring rules for resolving disagreement between first and second readings with third and, infrequently, fourth readings and for calculating final scores.
- 18. Delete Section A.12.1 on page 18 in its entirety and replace with the following:
 - 1. The Contractor will design and implement systems to facilitate processing, scoring, and reporting student results from each administration. The Contractor will also develop a plan to verify the accuracy of data produced during each processing step. The Contractor will also design and implement a separate Alt system to facilitate processing, scoring, and reporting student results from each administration including the ability to disaggregate and aggregate the results.
- 19. Delete the introduction to Section A.13.1 and 1.a on page 19 in their entirety and replace with the following:
 - 1. TCAP/WA and WA-Alt Report Designs

Beginning with the 2004 administration of the TCAP/WA, the Contractor is responsible for annually reviewing and updating the design of all reports, including individual, school, system and state reports, of TCAP/WA results in consultation with the State. Beginning with the 2006 administration of the TCAP/WA-Alt, the Contractor is responsible for annually reviewing and updating the design of all reports, including individual, school, system and state reports, of TCAP/WA-Alt results in consultation with the State. The reports must provide numeric, verbal, and graphic presentations of demographic information and assessment results that effectively communicate score interpretations to the intended audiences including students, teachers, parents, and the general public.

- a. At a minimum, the score reports must be updated to permit inclusion of scores produced for TCAP/WA and TCAP/WA-Alt, as well as data required by the federal government for reporting such results. Each administration will generate numerous reports and data files to be provided to students, classes, schools, systems and the State for students, parents, educators, and the general public.
- 20. Delete Section A.13.2.d on page 20 in its entirety and replace with the following:
 - d. For each administration, The Contractor will supply the State with an electronic file compatible with State software containing all data which may be aggregated by grade for each school, system, and the State no later than June 1st each year. The Contractor will draft a format for this file for State approval. The State will revise as necessary due to changes in the programs from administration to administration. (See Attachment 2.)

21. Delete the introduction to A.15 on page 21 in its entirety and replace with the following:

Prompts developed for the TCAP/WA and TCAP/WA-Alt are secure test materials. All prompts are property of the State. Contractors will provide for State approval their plans for maintaining the security of the tests during development and implementation. The Contractor's security plan must meet the State's test security requirements. Contractors will describe in detail how the security of the electronic files and paper portions associated with the test will be maintained while they are in the possession of the Contractor for production and scoring. Contractors will also describe in detail how the security of the assessments will be maintained during printing.

- 22. Delete section C.1. Maximum Liability in its entirety and replace with the following:
 - C.1. Maximum Liability. In no event shall the maximum liability of the State under this Contract exceed five million one hundred nine thousand three hundred thirteen dollars and fifty four cents (\$5,342,959.54). The Service Rates in Section C.3 shall constitute the entire compensation due the Contractor for the Service and all of the Contractor's obligations hereunder regardless of the difficulty, materials or equipment required. The Service Rates include, but are not limited to, all applicable taxes, fees, overheads, and all other direct and indirect costs incurred or to be incurred by the Contractor.

 The Contractor is not entitled to be paid the maximum liability for any period under the Contract or any extensions of the Contract for work not requested by the State. The maximum liability represents available funds for payment to the Contractor and does not guarantee payment of any such funds to the Contractor under this Contract unless the State requests work and the Contractor performs said work. In which case, the Contractor shall be paid in accordance with the Service Rates detailed in Section C.3. The State is under no obligation to request work from the Contractor in any specific dollar amounts or to request any work at all from the Contractor during any period of this Contract.
- 23. Modify Section C.3 pages 25 through 27 as follows:

사회가 나는 한 맛있다.	i la		호텔 전 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		7
			Deliverables		
Service/Unit Milestone	1/01/04- 12/31/04	1/01/05- 12/31/05	1/01/06- 12/31/06	1/01/07- 12/31/07	1/01/08- 6/30/08
Production and Deliv	very of Ancillary	y Materials to tl	he State		
On-line Prompt Sampler by August 15	Per Complete Prompt Sampler \$ 17,160	Per Complete Prompt Sampler \$ 17,675	Per Complete Prompt Sampler \$ 18,205	Per Complete Prompt Sampler \$ 18,751	Per Complete Prompt Sampler \$ 19,250
Pretest Brochure by second full week in November	n/a	Per Brochure	Per Brochure	Per Brochure \$ 0.22	Per Brochure
Production and Deli	very of Ancillar			\$ 0.22	

Service/Unit		•	Deliverables)
Milestone	1/01/04- 12/31/04	1/01/05- 12/31/05	1/01/06- 12/31/06	1/01/07- 12/31/07	1/01/08- 6/30/08
Posttest Brochure	Per Brochure	Per	Per	Per Brochure	Per Brochure
by May 1	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.25	Brochure \$ 0.26	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.28
Production and Deliv	very of Test Mat				
Secure Document	n/a	Per Sheet	Per Sheet	Per Sheet	Per Sheet
Cover Sheet		\$ 0.11	<u>\$ 0.11</u>	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.12
Elementary Grade Test Directions	n/a	Per Sheet	Per Sheet	Per Sheet	Per Sheet
rest Directions		<u>\$ 0.08</u>	<u>\$ 0.08</u>	<u>\$ 0.08</u>	\$ 0.09
Middle Grade Test	n/a	Per Sheet	Per Sheet	Per Sheet	Per Sheet
Directions		\$ 0.08	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.09
High School Test Directions	n/a	Per Sheet \$ 0.08	Per Sheet \$ 0.08	Per Sheet \$ 0.08	Per Sheet \$ 0.09
Elementary Grade Prompt Sheet	a F	Per Sheet \$ 0.08	Per Sheet \$ 0.08	Per Sheet \$ 0.08	Per Sheet \$ 0.09
Middle Grade Prompt Sheet	n/a	Per Sheet \$ 0.08	Per Sheet \$ 0.08	Per Sheet \$ 0.08	Per Sheet \$ 0.09
High School Prompt Sheet	n/a	Per Sheet \$ 0.08	Per Sheet \$ 0.08	Per Sheet \$ 0.08	Per Sheet \$ 0.09
Braille Elementary Grade Test	n/a	Per test Directions	Per test Directions	Per test Directions	Per test Directions
Directions With Accompanying Print Guide		<u>\$ 3.54</u>	<u>\$ 3.65</u>	<u>\$ 3.76</u>	\$ 3.87
Braille Middle Grade Test	n/a	Per test Directions	Per test Directions	Per test Directions	Per test Directions
Directions With Accompanying Print Guide		<u>\$ 3.54</u>	<u>\$ 3.65</u>	<u>\$ 3.76</u>	\$ 3.87
Braille High School Test Directions With	n/a	Per test Directions	Per test Directions	Per test Directions	Per test Directions
Accompanying Print Guide		<u>\$ 3.54</u>	<u>\$ 3.65</u>	\$ 3.76	\$ 3.87
Braille Elementary Grade Prompt Sheet	n/a	Per Prompt	Per Prompt	Per Prompt	Per Prompt
With Accompanying		<u>\$ 3.54</u>	<u>\$ 3.65</u>	<u>\$ 3.76</u>	\$ 3.87

Gi/II-it			Deliverables		
Service/Unit Milestone	1/01/04- 12/31/04	1/01/05- 12/31/05	1/01/06- 12/31/06	1/01/07- 12/31/07	1/01/08- 6/30/08
Print Guide					
Braille Middle Grade Prompt Sheet	n/a	Per Prompt	Per Prompt	Per Prompt	Per Prompt
With Accompanying Print Guide		<u>\$ 3.54</u>	<u>\$ 3.65</u>	\$ 3.76	<u>\$ 3.87</u>
Braille High School	n/a	Per Prompt	Per Prompt	Per Prompt	Per Prompt
Prompt Sheet With Accompanying Print Guide		\$ 3.54	<u>\$ 3.65</u>	<u>\$ 3.76</u>	<u>\$ 3.87</u>
Large Print Elementary Grade Test Directions	n/a	Per test Directions	Per test Directions	Per test Directions	Per test Directions
Feet Directions	/ a.	\$ 2.2 <u>9</u>	\$ 2.36	<u>\$ 2.43</u>	<u>\$ 2.50</u>
Large Print Middle	n/a	Per test	Per test	Per test	Per test
Grade Test		Directions	Directions	Directions	Directions
Directions		<u>\$ 2.29</u>	<u>\$ 2.36</u>	<u>\$ 2.43</u>	\$ 2.50
H.C.	Navi Car		F 31.6	Jan 18	S. W. C.
Large Print High	n/a	Per test	Per test	Per test	Peritest
School Test		Directions 🔏 🧢	Directions	Directions	Directions
Directions		<u>\$ 2.29</u>	<u>\$ 2.36</u>	<u>\$ 2.43</u>	<u>\$ 2.50</u>
			<u> </u>	D. D	Per Prompt
Large Print	n/a	Per Prompt	Per Prompt	Per Prompt	rei Fionipi
Elementary Grade Prompt Sheet	252	<u>\$ 2.29</u>	<u>\$ 2.36</u>	\$ 2.43	<u>\$ 2.50</u>
Large Print Middle Grade Prompt Sheet	n/a	Per Prompt	Per Prompt	Per Prompt	Per Prompt
Grade Frompt Sheet		\$ 2.29	<u>\$ 2.36</u>	\$ 2.43	\$ 2.50
Large Print High School Prompt	n/a	Per Prompt	Per Prompt	Per Prompt	Per Prompt
Sheet		<u>\$-1.26</u>	<u>\$-1.30</u>	\$ 1.34	\$ 1.38
Large Print Answer	n/a	Per Document	Per	Per	Per
Document	,	<u>\$ 1.28</u>	Document	Document	Document
			\$ 1.32	<u>\$ 1.36</u>	\$ 1.40
Test Administration	n/a	Per Manual	Per Manual	Per Manual	Per Manual
Manual	*	<u>\$ 0.93</u>	<u>\$ 0.96</u>	\$ 0.99	\$ 1.02
Answer Document	n/a	Per Document \$ 0.16	Per Document \$ 0.16	Per Document \$ 0.16	Per Document \$ 0.16
Absentee Document	n/a	Per Document	Per	Per	Per
11,0011100 Document	,	\$ 0.08	Document	Document	Document
	,	W			

Service/Unit Milestone	Deliverables							
	1/01/04- 12/31/04	1/01/05- 12/31/05	1/01/06- 12/31/06	1/01/07- 12/31/07	1/01/08- 6/30/08			
		}	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.09			
Teacher Headers	n/a	Per Header \$ 0.15	Per Header \$ 0.15	Per Header \$ 0.15	Per Header \$ 0.15			
School Headers	n/a	Per Header \$ 0.57	Per Header \$ 0.59	Per Header \$ 0.61	Per Header \$ 0.63			
Bar Code Labels	n/a	Per Label \$ 0.05	Per Label \$ 0.05	Per Label \$ 0.05	Per Label \$ 0.05			

24. Add the following to page 27, Section C.3:

Scoring:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ruu a eritti taan	
Conduct Alt Anchor	Upon	Upon	Upon	Upon	Upon
Setting Meetings by	Completion	Completion	Completion	Completion	Completion
last week in					ě
February (Includes					
copying of				14	I a property of the second
responses and other	N/A	N/A	\$ 40,500	\$ 42,120	\$ 43,800
services requested					Market.
in section A.11.2 (a-		194			
i).Honoraria for					
Tennessee				#1/4 2 to	
educators are	# B \$1				
budgeted at	<u>fil</u> er	一個			14.19.01.2
\$150.00 per day.					
Substitute teacher					
pay is budgeted at				,	
\$75.00 per day.)					
			T	Thene	Tinon
Conduct Room	Upon	Upon	Upon	Upon	Upon Completion
Leader and Reader	Completion	Completion	Completion	Completion	Completion
Training for ALT			d 04.464	\$ 35,844	\$ 36,918
assessment by end of second full week	N/A	N/A	\$ 34,464	\$ 55,044	φ 30,910
in March					
III Matcii					
			1		

25. Delete Section E.1 in its entirety and replace with the following:

E.1. Communications and Contacts. All instructions, notices, consents, demands, or other communications required or contemplated by this Contract shall be in writing and shall be made by facsimile transmission, by overnight courier service, or by first class mail, postage prepaid, addressed to the respective party at the appropriate facsimile number or address as set forth below or to such other party, facsimile number, or address as may be hereafter specified by written notice.

The State:

Dr. Mary Reel
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Assessment, Evaluation and Research
TPS Campus/Hardison Building, 1252 Foster Avenue,
Nashville, TN 37243
Telephone Number: 615-741-0720
Fax Number: 615-532-6870

The Contractor:

Dr. Henry Scherich MEASUREMENT INCORPORATED 423 Morris Street Durham, NC 27701 Telephone Number: 919-683-2413 Fax Number: 919-683-1531

All instructions, notices, consents, demands, or other communications shall be considered effectively given as of the day of delivery; as of the date specified for overnight courier service delivery; as of three (3) business days after the date of mailing; or on the day the facsimile transmission is received mechanically by the telefax machine at the receiving location and receipt is verbally confirmed by the sender if prior to 4:30 p.m. CST. Any communication by facsimile transmission shall also be sent by United States mail on the same date of the facsimile transmission.

6. Add the following to Attachment 1

TCAP-AIT WRITING ASSESSMENT RUBRIC

Scores from 6 to 1 reflect the range of excellence in the papers written in response to the assignment. Anchor and sample papers will illustrate both the limits and the diversity within each score level. Since each writing assignment places unique demands on students, readers should score the papers primarily in reference to the standards that emerge from the sample papers. The following broad categories, however, define the score ranges for any writing assignment and for any range of skills among the students responding.

SCORE OF 6

A 6 paper is OUTSTANDING. It demonstrates a high degree of proficiency in response to the assignment but may have a few minor errors.

An essay in this category:

- is well organized and coherently developed
- clearly explains or illustrates key ideas
- demonstrates syntactic variety
- clearly displays facility in the use of language
- is generally free from errors in mechanics, usage, and sentence structure

TCAP-Alt Adaptations:

- includes topic plus more clearly organized thoughts related to the prompt
- is clearly developed using relevant details
- demonstrates beginning, middle and end to the story

SCORE OF 5

A 5 paper is STRONG. It demonstrates clear proficiency in response to the assignment and may have minor errors.

An essay in this category:

- is generally well organized and coherently developed
- explains or illustrates key ideas
- demonstrates some syntactic variety
- displays facility in the use of language
- is generally free from errors in mechanics, usage, and sentence structure

TCAP-Alt Adaptations:

- has evidence of topic plus organized thoughts related to the prompt
- has varying sentence structure
- demonstrates stronger vocabulary

SCORE OF 4

A 4 paper is COMPETENT. It demonstrates proficiency in response to the assignment.

An essay in this category:

is adequately organized and developed
explains or illustrates some of the key ideas
demonstrates adequate facility in the use of language
may display some errors in mechanics, usage, or sentence structure

TCAP-Alt Adaptations:

- has evidence of topic plus thoughts may lose focus
- has organization
 - tells a story

SCORE OF 3

A 3 paper is LIMITED. It demonstrates some degree of proficiency in response to the assignment but it is clearly flawed.

An essay in this category reveals one or more of the following weaknesses:

- inadequate organization or development
- inadequate explanation or illustration of key ideas
- limited or inappropriate word choice
- a pattern or accumulation of errors in mechanics, usage, or sentence structure

TCAP-Alt Adaptations:

- generally responds to topic
- has beginning forms of sentence structure

SCORE OF 2

A 2 paper is FLAWED. It demonstrates limited proficiency in response to the assignment.

An essay in this category reveals one or more of the following weaknesses:

- weak organization or very little development
- little or no relevant detail
- serious errors in mechanics, usage, sentence structure, or word choice

TCAP-Alt Adaptations:

- responds to and/or copies from prompt and
- has recognizable words present

SCORE OF 1

A 1 paper is DEFICIENT. It demonstrates fundamental deficiencies in writing skills.

An essay in this category contains serious and persistent writing errors or is incoherent or is undeveloped.

TCAP-Alt Adaptations:

Student wrote something on the answer document - letters, scribbling, pictures, etc.

The other terms and conditions of this CONTRACT not amended hereby shall remain in full force and effect.

in witness whereof:				
MEASUREMENT INCORPORATED):			
Dr. Henry Scherich, President		Date		
Di. Hemy Scherion, President			•	
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION:				
Lana C. Seivers, Commissioner		Date		
ADDOLED.				
APPROVED: DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND	ADMINISTRATIO	N:		
promestation in the state of th				<u>.</u> #
M. D. Goetz, Jr., Commissioner		Date		5.1 (3) ani
COMPTROLLER OF THE TREASU	JRY:			ño Ĉu
		Date	14 (A)	
John G. Morgan, Comptroller of	the I re asury	Date		i Talanda Talanda
三 美国自然的人名英格兰人名	1	그들의 전에는 경우스 호레일 경기		

		LEC ON	ıR.	A C T □	∦S⊹U-Ņ	ΛĮΝ	Λ¦Α.	R:	(Harry	⊩H! ت	E _i E					
IRFS Number: 331.114-007					Contract Number: FA-04/5\$ 27-01											
State Agen	State Agency Department of Education					Division: Evaluation and Assessment Contractor Identification Number										
	evandetut	Contracto	rs-JH	的生物线性					Conti	actor l	dentifi	cation 1	dumb	er, illi		
Measureme	nt Incorpor	rated			. ,											
	usian		niille)		Service De	scri	ption									
		oring the Writin								are entire	:		idira sipat 4%	i sa isan ka	- sek sübetkesteli	pel(3#5)[14(f)]
		Contract Begir	Date					arra <u>, red e</u> s		Cont	ract E	nd Date				Mili abali
January 1, 2	2004					June 30, 2008							Totales in the			
Allotment (Code	Cost Center	Obj	ect Code	Fu	nd 🗄			Gran		Gra	ant Cod	e	Subg	rant C	ode
331.36	44	0	083		25]	0	n ST	ARS				Table on the control of the control		naher Na vi vit
FY	State I	unds	Federal	Funds	Interdep Fu	artm nds	nental		Othe	r Fundi	ng	Tot (includ		LL am		nts)
04	\$8	96,107.23		\$0			\$0	~ ~~ [~~		in lines	\$0 A-⊖⊑	<u> </u>		\$	896,10	7.23
05	\$8	03,785.92	\$1	76,796.00	<u> </u>		$\frac{\cup}{-}$	4		an lander	40L	<u> </u>			980,58	
06	\$8	53,964.85	\$1	82,100.00			<u> </u>	Ç	if D	(i <u>' 7</u>	004			\$1, —	036,06	4.85
07	\$1,0	69,690.20	\$1	87,563.00			<u>\.</u>	-	<i>∧ 7</i> √	7 7 N	11/11	<u></u>			257,25	
08	\$7	46,116.34	\$1	93,190.00			<u>[</u>	1	<u> </u>			<u> </u>			\$93930	6.34
Total:	\$4,3	69,664.54	\$7	739,649.00				1	-10-10-00-				ammente medidal	\$ 5,	,109,31	3.54
GFDA#	***				. •					Check	the bo	x ONL	(lif th	e ansv	ver is	(ES:
		State Fiscal C	ontact				Is the	Con	tracto	or a S∪	BRECI	PIENT?	(per (ЭМВ А	÷133)	5
Name:	Mary Red	el, Director of I	valuati	ion & Asses	sment		Is the	Con	tracto	n a VE	NDOR:	? (per O	МВ А	133)		Х
Address Phone		es Robertson I e, TN 37243 3027	-arkwa	y			Is the	Fisc	al Ye	ar Fund	ding S	IRICIIL	Y LIM	TED?		and the first of t
Procu	ing Agen	cy Budget Offic	er App	roval Signa	ature		Is the	Con	tracto	on S	TARS?					х
142196; <u>142144.663</u>		oligadoju i Padriki i <u>plajevi i Pilea</u> dib	ana <u>(japi</u> tki addik <u>ets</u>	isaa telimene (go a more melloca).	874	44	is the	Con	tracto	or's FO	RM W	9 ATTA	CHEE	12		1000
				-	V		Is the	Con	tracto	ors For	m W-9	Filed w	ith Ac	coun	ts?	X
Film Fig	OMPLETE	FOR ALL AM	ENDME	NTS (only)							Hariat, Physical	rtificati	ALEGA LANGERTAL			
		Base Contra Prior Amend		This Ame	ndment Y	Fin	ance ar	hA br	ministr	ation, do	o hereby	M. D. Go certify th	nat the	re is a l	balance	in
END	DATE >	6/30/08	C)PIC A LEIL II I ING	6/30/08		the not	approp otherw	riatiou ise er	n from noumb	which the ered to p	nis obliga pay oblig	ation is re jations pr	evious	ly incui	paid tha rred.	is [
FY: 04	TELLE PRINTERS OF THE PRINTERS	\$896,	107.23		\$0											
FY: 05		\$803,7	785.92	\$1	76,796.00							. 3	Φ.	圍		ī
FY: 06		\$853,9	964.85	\$1	82,100.00		<u>.</u>					MANA	6044	629	to the second	
FY: 07		\$1,069,6	590.20	\$1	87,563.00						•			<u> </u>	() []]	
FY: 08		\$746,	116.34	\$1	93,190.00] ;	SEP :	2 7	2004	!		Z.	030 1830 1830		E I	
	Total:	\$4,369,	664.54	\$7	39,649.00]			- 1			SERVICE	S OF FIG	S.	On and and and and and and and and and an	
		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		٠.						E.	<u>:</u>	 U	***************************************	

		CONTRACT	1 same man report			<i>C</i> (/	22-0
is Number	33	1.114-007		ractions	EA-	<u> </u>	
Taterage Cyc	Departme	ent of Education		sion-till	Contractor Ide		nd Assessment
	ija i ja Koniracioi		STREET, STREET		CONTRACTOR	·—-	
THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH	Measurement Incor	porated	×	C-		56 1264255	5
	THE SHORT WAS A SH	•	Vice Desci	ipijenatan			
		HER STATE OF THE S	- 1	-			
For the provision	on of scoring the Writing A	ssessment papers and	եսույն ութ	materials	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		
	i in e u rontiaet Bégin	Date Casalina			A THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF T	e 30, 2008	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
SECTION STREET, STEEL STREET,	January 1, 20	THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF					en subgrandcoder
a jallouneli	Gode 1 7 1 Cost Cente	For Confedence 83	2:		on STARS		
331.				apartinenta	otheja		Total Contract Amount I
	i State Funds (1)	Mirederall Funds III		Eundside v	建筑地址 加州斯塔尔斯斯地址的水水	在支持期間報告以 和政策 crt336 03-4844 18	\$896,107.23
04	\$896,107.23	<u> </u>					\$803,785.92
. 05	\$803,785.92						\$853,964.85
06	\$853,964.85		-				\$1,069,690.20
07	\$1,069,690.20						\$746,116.34
80	\$746,116.34	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	+				\$0.00
	\$4,369,664.54	\$0.0	0	\$	0.00	\$0.00	\$4,369,664.54
			Stitu	W. Mches	K the box (oglo	MONEYATA	answeris XES
GEDANUME		oniac Paris III					2((GEF@MB/A-1123) X
Name	Ben Brown	Nachvillo TN			silie Fiscalite	ar Fürteling ST	RIGHLY UMITED?
Address	710 James Robertson Pa	rkway, Nashville, 114				- Kilhe Con	racion of STARS? x
PICTER	615-532-4770 Procuring Agency Budge	-Hoffiger Signature,				TICTOTS FORM	IW-9VATTIAGHED
	Procuring Agents and the second				Charles of the Control of the Contro	的公司的联系的研究。从第二次是一个	MANATEOUNISA X
			翼 Oとど		HEICONTIACIONS THE PROPERTY OF		
			378		Fine	ne Cerurcai	end
	**************************************		P	Pursuant to T.0	C.A., Section 9-6-1 dministration, do h	113, I, M. D. Goelerby certify that	on: Z, Jr., Commissioner of there is a balance in the to be paid that is not
	GOMPUETERORALICAI	MENDMENIS (OTM)	PFa	Pursuant to T.0	C.A., Section 9-6-1	113, I, M. D. Goelerby certify that	on: Z, Jr., Commissioner of there is a balance in the to be paid that is not
	Base Gonuaci & Zamenomen	MENDMENTS((only)). Puor This Amendme	PFa	Pursuant to T.0	C.A., Section 9-6-1 dministration, do h	113, I, M. D. Goelerby certify that	on: Z, Jr., Commissioner of there is a balance in the to be paid that is not
Part Part	Base Gonuaci & Zamenomen	MENDMENTS((obly)). Priore this Amendme Street	PFa	Pursuant to T.0	C.A., Section 9-6-1 dministration, do h rom which this obli imbered to pay ob	ting Certificati 13, I, M. D. Goet ereby certify that gation is required ligations previous	on: Z, Jr., Commissioner of there is a balance in the to be paid that is not
Enc.	Basetoning Salaman Sal	WANAGEMENT	PFa	Pursuant to T.0	SEDIAKES LKT	Ing Certification 13, I, M. D. Goet ereby certify that gation is required ligations previous	on: Z, Jr., Commissioner of there is a balance in the to be paid that is not
	Basetoning Salaman Sal	Prior Trats Amendan	PFa	Pursuant to T.C Finance and A Appropriation for Atherwise each	C.A., Section 9-6-1 dministration, do h rom which this obli umbered to pay ob C.E. S.E. S.E. S.E. S.E. S.E. S.E. S.E.	In Geruiteau 13, I, M. D. Goel ereby certify that gation is required ligations previous	on. Z, Jr., Commissioner of there is a balance in the d to be paid that is not sly incurred.
	Basetoning Salaman Sal	WANAGEMENT	PFa	Pursuant to T.C Finance and A Appropriation for Atherwise each	Saught Section 9-6-1 C.A., Section 9-6-1 dministration, do he rom which this oblination bered to pay ob I.H. SEKALGES J.E. S. OLLIGE O VW 2: 15	Intel Ge, furteau 13, I, M. D. Goel ereby certify that gation is required ligations previous 3, I, M. D. Goel ereby certify that gation is required ligations previous 3, I, M. D.	on. Z, Jr., Commissioner of there is a balance in the d to be paid that is not sly incurred.
	S. Joenn Germann State Communication Services Security Security Services W. S. OFFICE M. A. S. OFFICE M. S. OF	FONT HOS SOLLE THE ADMINISTRATION THE ADMIN	PFa	Pursuant to T.C Finance and A Appropriation for Atherwise each	C.A., Section 9-6-1 dministration, do h rom which this obli umbered to pay ob C.E. S.E. S.E. S.E. S.E. S.E. S.E. S.E.	Interest in the late of the la	ch. Z. Jr., Commissioner of there is a balance in the dot to be paid that is not sly incurred. PROCESSED MAR 1 2 2004
	Stockhoopsess Financial State	FONT HOS SOLLE THE ADMINISTRATION THE ADMIN	PFa	Pursuant to T.C Finance and A Appropriation for Atherwise each	Saught Section 9-6-1 C.A., Section 9-6-1 dministration, do he rom which this oblination bered to pay ob I.H. SEKALGES J.E. S. OLLIGE O VW 2: 15	Interest in the late of the la	cing to the commissioner of there is a balance in the data to be paid that is not say incurred.
	S. Joenn Germann State Communication Services Security Security Services W. S. OFFICE M. A. S. OFFICE M. S. OF	FONT HOS SOLLE THE ADMINISTRATION THE ADMIN	PFa	Pursuant to T.C Finance and A Popropriation for Atherwise each	Saught Section 9-6-1 C.A., Section 9-6-1 dministration, do he rom which this oblination bered to pay ob I.H. SEKALGES J.E. S. OLLIGE O VW 2: 15	Interest in the late of the la	ch. Z. Jr., Commissioner of there is a balance in the dot to be paid that is not sly incurred. PROCESSED MAR 1 2 2004